Three-qutrit entanglement and simple singularities

Frédéric Holweck* and Hamza Jaffali†
IRTES-UTBM, Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté,
90010 Belfort Cedex, France
(Dated: June 20, 2016)

In this paper, we use singularity theory to study the entanglement nature of pure three-qutrit systems. We first consider the algebraic variety X of separable three-qutrit states within the projective Hilbert space $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathbb{P}^{26}$. Given a quantum pure state $|\varphi\rangle \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ we define the X_φ-hypersuface by cutting X with a hyperplane H_φ defined by the linear form $\langle\varphi|$ (the X_φ-hypersurface of X is $X \cap H_\varphi \subset X$). We prove that when $|\varphi\rangle$ ranges over the SLOCC entanglement classes, the "worst" possible singular X_φ-hypersuface with isolated singularities, has a unique singular point of type D_4.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud,03.67.Hk,03.65.Aa,03.65.Db

Keywords: Entanglement, three-qutrit systems, singularity theory.

arXiv:1606.05537v1 [quant-ph] 17 Jun 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$ be the Hilbert space of pure three-qutrit states. Up to multiplication by a scalar, every three-qutrit state $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ can be considered as a point of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{26} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ ($\mathbb{P}^N$ will denote a projective space of dimension N). Separable states of $\mathcal{H}$ are states that can be factorized as $|\phi\rangle = v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3$, with $v_i \in \mathbb{C}^3$. The set of separable states is indeed the set of tensors of rank 1. We denote by $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle\}$ the single-qutrit computational basis and we denote by $|ijk\rangle = |i\rangle \otimes |j\rangle \otimes |k\rangle$ the three-qutrit basis. Thereby, we write a general three-qutrit state $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ as:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i,j,k\in[\![0,2]\!]} A_{ijk}|ijk\rangle, \text{ where } A_{ijk} \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{1}$$

Let G be the group of Stochastic Local Operation and Classical Communication (SLOCC) of three-qutrits (acting on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$), we have $G = SL_3(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_3(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_3(\mathbb{C})$. The group G acts transitively on the set of separable states.The corresponding orbit, which is the equivalence class of $|000\rangle$ for the equivalence relation defined by

$$\forall\, |\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}),\ |\psi_1\rangle \sim |\psi_2\rangle \Leftrightarrow \exists g \in G \quad |\psi_1\rangle = g.|\psi_2\rangle, \tag{2}$$

is also called the highest weight orbit. Its projectivization is the unique smooth orbit X for the action of G on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$, more precisely:

$$X = \mathbb{P}(G.|000\rangle) = \{\text{The set of separable states}\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{26}. \tag{3}$$

We can parametrize this unique smooth orbit X using the Segre embedding [8] of three projective planes:

$$\mathcal{S} : \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^{26} \tag{4}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}([x_0 : x_1 : x_2], [y_0 : y_1 : y_2], [z_0 : z_1 : z_2]) \\ = [x_0y_0w_0 : \cdots : W_J : \cdots : x_2y_2z_2]\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $W_J = x_iy_jz_k$ for $J = \{i, j, k\} \in [\![0, 2]\!]^3$.

The monomials are ordered according to the value in base 3 of their indexes, such that $W_{J_1} \prec W_{J_2}$ iff $9i_1 + 3j_1 + k_1 \leq 9i_2 + 3j_2 + k_2$.

Let us introduce the notion of hyperplane to implement our construction. We denote by $\langle\varphi|$, a linear form on $\mathcal{H}$ defined by the state $|\varphi\rangle$ via the inner product on the Hilbert space. The hyperplane $H_\varphi \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathbb{P}^{26}$ is the zero locus of $\langle\varphi|$, i.e. is the set of states $|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ such as $\langle\varphi|\psi\rangle = 0$. Then we construct the hyperplane section $H_\varphi \cap X$, that is the restriction of $\langle\varphi|$ to X. In other words this hyperplane section is the set of separable states on which $\langle\varphi|$ vanishes. Let $\langle\varphi| = \sum_{i,j,k\in[\![0,2]\!]} h_{ijk}\langle ijk|$ be the linear form defining the hyperplane H_φ. Then the hyperplane section $H_\varphi \cap X$ will be, according to the Segre map (see Eq. (5)), the X_φ-hypersurface of X given by

$$\langle\varphi|\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)\rangle = \sum_{i,j,k\in[\![0,2]\!]} h_{ijk}x_iy_jz_k = 0, h_{ijk} \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{6}$$

The corresponding X_φ-hypersurface may be smooth or have singularities. Because the variety X is G-homogeneous the singular types of the X_φ-hypersurface and the $X_{g.\varphi}$-hypersurface are the same for any $g \in G$. In other words the singular type of the X_φ-hypersurface is a SLOCC invariant of the state $|\varphi\rangle$. Therefore it is natural to look at the entangled classes and characterize them in terms of the singularities of the corresponding X_φ-hypersurfaces. In particular if we restrict our attention to those X_φ-hypersurfaces which have only isolated singularities, then we can use classification results from singularity theory. In particular in the article we show that only a few types of isolated singularities can be obtained by this construction in the case of three-qutrit systems. We prove:

* frederic.holweck@utbm.fr
† hamza.jaffali@utbm.fr

Theorem 1 *Let $H_\varphi \cap X$ be a singular hyperplane section of the algebraic variety of separable states for three-qutrit systems, i.e. $X = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \subset \mathbb{P}^{26}$, defined by a quantum pure state $|\varphi\rangle \in \mathbb{P}^{26}$. Then $H_\varphi \cap X$ only admits simple or nonisolated singularities. Moreover if x is an isolated singular point of $H_\varphi \cap X$, then its singular type is either A_1, A_2, A_3 or D_4.*

The construction employed by the authors was already used to get a finer grained classification of the four-qubit classification by the first author (see [10]). This construction is inspired by a construction due to F. Knop [11]. The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we recall some basic facts about singularity theory to explain how the calculations leading to Theorem 1 are performed. Then in Sec. III we prove Theorem 1. In Sec. IV we look at the hierachy of entangled classes given by singular types. Sec. V is dedicated to concluding remarks.

II. SIMPLE SINGULARITIES

In this section we first recall the definition of simple singularities of complex analytic functions following Arnold's classification of simple singularities [1, 2]. Arnold's caracterization of simple singularities leads to a pratical way of computing the singular type of those as it was done in [10]. We then show how to proceed on two examples.

A. Arnold's classification of simple singularities

The Segre variety $X = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \subset \mathbb{P}^{26}$ being a rationnal algebraic variety, the X_φ-hypersurfaces will be defined by complex homogenous polynomials. The definition (and classification) of simple singularities following Arnold is given in the more general situation of (germs of) holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$.

Definition II.1 *A point $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is said to be a **critical** point of a holomorphic function f if at that point x the derivatives of f equal zero.*

Definition II.2 *A critical point is said to be a **nondegenerate** or a **Morse critical** point iff the second differential of the function at that point is a nondegenerate quadratic form i.e. iff the Hessian matrix of f at the critical point is of full rank.*

Definition II.3 *The **corank** of a critical point of a function is the dimension of the kernel of its second differential at the critical point.*

Let us consider now the set $\mathcal{O}_n$ of function-germs at the point $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Let $\mathcal{D}_n$ denote the group of germs of biholomorphic maps $g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. This group acts on the space $\mathcal{O}_n$ by the rule $g(f) = f \circ g^{-1}$, where $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ and $g \in \mathcal{D}_n$. Then, the orbits for this action are exactly the equivalence classes of function-germs.

Definition II.4 *Two function-germs at zero are said to be **equivalent** if one is taken into the other by a biholomorphic change of coordinates that keeps the point zero fixed.*

We can now define a singularity by:

Definition II.5 *Two critical points are said to be **equivalent** if the function-germs that define them are equivalent. The equivalence class of a function-germ at a critical point is called a **singularity**.*

Remark II.1 *From the definition, it follows that the corank of a singular point will be an invariant of the equivalence class defining the singularity. Thus a singularity will be nondegenerate or quadratic or of Morse type if and only if its corank is zero.*

Definition II.6 *Two function-germs $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ and $h : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ are said to be **stably equivalent** if they become equivalent after the addition of nondegenerate quadratic forms in supplementary variables:*

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) + x_{n+1}^2 + \cdots + x_k^2 \sim h(y_1, \ldots, y_m) + y_{m+1}^2 + \cdots + y_k^2$$

This definition allows us to compare degeneracies of critical points of functions of different numbers of variables. Adding quadratic terms of full rank in new variables do not affect the classification of the singular type.

There exists another invariant of singularities usefull to distinguish between singularity types with the same corank. This invariant is the Milnor number, also called the multiplicity of the critical point, and it is defined by introducing the following quotient:

Definition II.7 *The **local algebra $\mathcal{Q}_f$ of the singularity of f** is defined to be the quotient of the algebra of function-germs by the gradient ideal of f:*

$$\mathcal{Q}_f = \mathcal{O}_n / I_{\nabla f}$$

with $I_{\nabla f} = \mathcal{O}_n \langle f_1, \ldots, f_n \rangle$, the gradient ideal generated by the partial derivatives $f_i = \partial f / \partial x_i$ of the function f.

Definition II.8 *The multiplicity of the critical point, i.e. the Milnor number μ of a singular germ $(f, 0)$, is equal to the dimension of the local algebra of $(f, 0)$,*

$$\mu = dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{Q}_f) = dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_n / I_{\nabla f})$$

It can be shown that a critical point is isolated if, and only if, $\mu < \infty$.

Simple singularities were defined by Arnold as the class of singularities which are stable in the following sense.

Definition II.9 *A singularity $(f, 0)$ is said to be simple if and only if a (sufficiently) small perturbation of the singularity generates only a finite number of non-equivalent classes.*

Remark II.2 *It is clear from the definition that a Morse singularity $(f,0)$ is simple as any funtion $f+\varepsilon h$ (for a small ϵ) will be either nonsingular or with Hessian matrix of full rank.*

In his classification of simple singularities [2], Arnold proved that being simple is equivalent to the following conditions:

- $\mu < \infty$
- $corank(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x_0)) \leq 2$
- if $corank(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x_0)) = 2$, then the cubic term in the degenerate direction of the Hessian matrix is non-zero
- if $corank = 2$ and the cubic term is a cube, then $\mu < 9$.

With those conditions Arnold obtained the classification of simple singularities into five different types, two infinite series, A_n and D_n, and three exceptional ones, E_6, E_7 and E_8, leading to the well-known classificiation of simple singularities (Table I):

$A_n, n \geq 1$	$D_n, n \geq 4$	E_6	E_7	E_8
x^{n+1}	$x^2y + y^{n-1}$	$x^3 + y^4$	$x^3 + xy^3$	$x^3 + y^5$

TABLE I. Normal forms of simple singularities

Remark II.3 *A function germ $(f,0)$ with a simple singularity is stably equivalent to one of the normal forms of Table I.*

Remark II.4 *According to the notation of Table I, Morse singularity are of type A_1.*

B. Computing the X_φ-hypersurface singular type

We now show on two pratical examples how to calculate the singular type of the X_φ-hypersurface defined by $|\varphi\rangle$.

Example II.1 *Let $H_{\varphi_1} \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^*)$ be a hyperplane, for the linear form $\langle\varphi_1| = \langle 000| + \langle 111| + \langle 222|$. The hyperplane section $H_{\varphi_1} \cap X$ is tangent to $|A\rangle = |021\rangle$. Indeed a tangent vector to X at $|A\rangle$ is of the form $|t_1\rangle = \alpha|121\rangle + \beta|221\rangle + \delta|001\rangle + \sigma|011\rangle + \lambda|020\rangle + \epsilon|022\rangle$ and we can easly check that $\langle\varphi_1|t_1\rangle = 0$. The function defining $H_{\varphi_1} \cap X$ corresponds to the restriction of the linear form to X, which is expressed as:*

$$f_1(x_0,x_1,x_2,y_0,y_1,y_2,z_0,z_1,z_2) = x_0y_0z_0 + x_1y_1z_1 + x_2y_2z_2. \tag{7}$$

Besides, f_1 can be written in the chart $[0,2,1]$, corresponding to $x_0 = y_2 = z_1 = 1$, as a non-homogeneous polynomial in 6 variables:

$$f_1(x_1,x_2,y_0,y_1,z_0,z_2) = y_0z_0 + x_1y_1 + x_2z_2. \tag{8}$$

Then we search for singular points of this form, by resolving the two equations $f_1(x_1,x_2,y_0,y_1,z_0,z_2) = 0$ and $\nabla f_1(x_1,x_2,y_0,y_1,z_0,z_2) = 0$. This is equivalent to solve the equations:

$$\begin{cases} y_0z_0 + x_1y_1 + x_2z_2 = 0 \\ y_1 = 0,\ z_2 = 0,\ z_0 = 0 \\ x_1 = 0,\ y_0 = 0,\ x_2 = 0 \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

We find thus a unique solution that is $x_1 = x_2 = y_0 = y_1 = z_0 = z_2 = 0$, which corresponds to $|A\rangle = |021\rangle$ in this chart. The Hessian matrix of f_1, at $x = |A\rangle$, is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is of rank 6, that is the full rank. So we can conclude that the point $|A\rangle = |021\rangle$ of $H_{\varphi_1} \cap X$ is an isolated singular point of type A_1.

Example II.2 *Let $H_{\varphi_2} \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^*)$ be a hyperplane, for the linear form $\langle\varphi_2| = \langle 012| + \langle 021| + \langle 102| + \langle 110| + \langle 111| + \langle 200|$. The hyperplane section $H_{\varphi_2} \cap X$ is tangent to $|B\rangle = |222\rangle$. Indeed a tangent vector to X at $|B\rangle$ is of the form $|t_2\rangle = \alpha|022\rangle + \beta|122\rangle + \delta|202\rangle + \sigma|212\rangle + \lambda|220\rangle + \epsilon|221\rangle$ and we can easly check that $\langle\varphi_2|t_2\rangle = 0$. The function defining $H_{\varphi_2} \cap X$ corresponds to the restriction of the linear form to X, which is expressed as:*

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(x_0,x_1,x_2,y_0,y_1,y_2,z_0,z_1,z_2) = {} & x_0y_1z_2 + x_0y_2z_1 + x_1y_0z_2 \\ & + x_1y_1z_0 + x_1y_1z_1 + x_2y_0z_0 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Besides, f_2 can be written in the chart $[2,2,2]$, corresponding to $x_2 = y_2 = z_2 = 1$, as a non-homogeneous polynomial in 6 variables:

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(x_0,x_1,y_0,y_1,z_0,z_1) = {} & x_0y_1 + x_0z_1 + x_1y_0 + x_1y_1z_0 \\ & + x_1y_1z_1 + y_0z_0 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Then we search for singular points of this form, by resolving the two equations $f_2(x_0,x_1,y_0,y_1,z_0,z_1) = 0$ and $\nabla f_2(x_0,x_1,y_0,y_1,z_0,z_1) = 0$. It is equivalent to solve the equations:

$$\begin{cases} x_0y_1 + x_0z_1 + x_1y_0 + x_1y_1z_0 + x_1y_1z_1 + y_0z_0 = 0 \\ y_1 + z_1 = 0,\ y_0 + y_1z_0 + y_1z_1 = 0, \\ x_1 + z_0 = 0,\ x_0 + x_1z_0 + x_1z_1 = 0, \\ x_1y_1 + y_0 = 0,\ x_0 + x_1y_1 = 0 \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

We find a unique solution that is $x_0 = x_1 = y_0 = y_1 = z_0 = z_1 = 0$, which corresponds to $|B\rangle = |222\rangle$ in this chart. The corresponding Hessian matrix of f_2, at $x = |B\rangle$, is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is of rank 4. One can check, using for instance the software SINGULAR [5], that the Milnor number of this singular hypersurface is $\mu = 4$. So we conclude that the point $|B\rangle = |222\rangle$ of $H_{\varphi_2} \cap X$ is an isolated singular point of type D_4.

III. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In order to prove Theorem 1, the computation of the singular type of all $H_\varphi \cap X$ sections is needed. The orbits of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$, under the action of G, correspond Nurmiev's classification of $3 \times 3 \times 3$ matrices under the action of G [13]. Moreover, the singularity of an orbit is the same for all the representatives of the latter. According to Nurmiev classification, the G-orbits of the three-qutrit Hilbert space consist of 5 families (1 family is parameter free — the nullcone — and the 4 others depend on parameters). Normal forms for each family are known [13]. So, for each Nurmiev's normal form, we compute the corresponding hyperplane section and study its singular type. We focus specifically on singular isolated points. We can thus determine the singular type of these points, by using a formal algebraic computing software, putting into practice the steps explained in examples II A and II B. The results obtained prove Theorem 1, i.e. only A_1, A_2, A_3 and D_4 singular types are reached as shown in Table II and Table III where the result of each calculation is provided.

For defining more easly each family of Nurmiev's normal forms and the corresponding hyperplanes, let us denote $X_1 = \langle 000| + \langle 111| + \langle 222|$, $X_2 = \langle 012| + \langle 120| + \langle 201|$ and $X_3 = \langle 021| + \langle 102| + \langle 210|$. Each family is a linear combination of those linear forms, plus a nilpotent part, and the complex coefficients associated to X_1, X_2 and X_3 must verify a set of conditions, listed as follows:

- First family: $abc \neq 0$, $(a^3 + b^3 + c^3)^3 - (3abc)^3 \neq 0$.
- Second family: $b(a^3 + b^3) \neq 0$, $c = 0$.
- Third family: $a \neq 0$, $b = c = 0$.
- Fourth family: $c = -b \neq 0$, $a = 0$.

Orbit	Hyperplane	Singular type
N_1	$\langle 012\| + \langle 021\| + \langle 102\| + \langle 111\| + \langle 120\| + \langle 200\|$	A_3
N_2	$\langle 012\| + \langle 021\| + \langle 102\| + \langle 110\| + \langle 111\| + \langle 200\|$	D_4
N_3	$\langle 002\| + \langle 011\| + \langle 020\| + \langle 101\| + \langle 112\| + \langle 200\|$	Non-isolated
N_4	$\langle 002\| + \langle 011\| + \langle 101\| + \langle 110\| + \langle 220\|$	Non-isolated
N_5	$\langle 002\| + \langle 020\| + \langle 021\| + \langle 110\| + \langle 201\|$	Non-isolated
N_6	$\langle 002\| + \langle 011\| + \langle 101\| + \langle 120\| + \langle 210\|$	Non-isolated
N_7	$\langle 002\| + \langle 011\| + \langle 020\| + \langle 101\| + \langle 210\|$	Non-isolated
N_8	$\langle 002\| + \langle 020\| + \langle 111\| + \langle 200\|$	Non-isolated
N_9	$\langle 000\| + \langle 011\| + \langle 111\| + \langle 122\|$	Non-isolated
N_{10}	$\langle 002\| + \langle 011\| + \langle 020\| + \langle 101\| + \langle 110\| + \langle 200\|$	Non-isolated
N_{11}	$\langle 002\| + \langle 020\| + \langle 101\| + \langle 210\|$	Non-isolated
N_{12}	$\langle 002\| + \langle 020\| + \langle 100\| + \langle 111\|$	Non-isolated
N_{13}	$\langle 002\| + \langle 011\| + \langle 020\| + \langle 101\| + \langle 110\|$	Non-isolated
N_{14}	$\langle 002\| + \langle 010\| + \langle 021\| + \langle 100\| + \langle 201\|$	Non-isolated
N_{15}	$\langle 011\| + \langle 022\| + \langle 100\|$	Non-isolated
N_{16}	$\langle 002\| + \langle 011\| + \langle 020\| + \langle 100\|$	Non-isolated
N_{17}	$\langle 001\| + \langle 010\| + \langle 102\| + \langle 120\|$	Non-isolated
N_{18}	$\langle 000\| + \langle 011\| + \langle 101\| + \langle 112\|$	Non-isolated
N_{19}	$\langle 002\| + \langle 010\| + \langle 101\|$	Non-isolated
N_{20}	$\langle 000\| + \langle 111\|$	Non-isolated
N_{21}	$\langle 001\| + \langle 010\| + \langle 100\|$	Non-isolated
N_{22}	$\langle 000\| + \langle 011\| + \langle 022\|$	Non-isolated
N_{23}	$\langle 000\| + \langle 011\|$	Non-isolated
N_{24}	$\langle 000\|$	Non-isolated

TABLE II. Hyperplanes and the singular types of the corresponding X_φ-hypersurfaces for $|\varphi\rangle$ in the nullcone of three-qutrit states. The trivial orbit is omitted.

The parameter free family of Nurmiev's classification is called the nullcone and contains only nilpotent orbits. Geometrically the nullcone $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{P}^{26}$ is a variety of dimension 23 given by the zero locus of the three generators of the algebra of SLOOC-invariant polynomials on $\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$. In other words the states of the nullcone an-

Orbits	Hyperplane	Params	Sing. type
$F_{1,1}$	$a.X_1 + b.X_2$ $+c.X_3$	a,b,c generic	Smooth section
$F_{2,1}$	$a.X_1 + b.X_2$ $+\langle 021\| + \langle 102\|$	a,b generic $a = 0$	A_1 $3A_1$
$F_{2,2}$	$a.X_1 + b.X_2$ $+\langle 021\|$	a,b generic $a = 0$	$2A_1$ $3A_1$
$F_{2,3}$	$a.X_1 + b.X_2$ $+\langle 201\|$	a,b generic $a = 0$	$3A_1$ $3A_1$
$F_{3,1}$	$a.X_1 + \langle 012\| + \langle 021\|$ $+\langle 102\| + \langle 120\|$	a generic	$2A_1$
$F_{3,2}$	$a.X_1 + \langle 012\|$ $+\langle 021\| + \langle 102\|$	a generic	$3A_1$
$F_{3,3}$	$a.X_1 + \langle 012\|$ $+\langle 021\| + \langle 120\|$	a generic	$3A_1$
$F_{3,4}$	$a.X_1$ $+\langle 012\| + \langle 021\|$	a generic	$4A_1$
$F_{3,5}$	$a.X_1$ $+\langle 012\| + \langle 120\|$	a generic	$4A_1$
$F_{3,6}$	$a.X_1$ $+\langle 021\| + \langle 102\|$	a generic	$4A_1$
$F_{3,7}$	$a.X_1$ $+\langle 012\|$	a generic	$5A_1$
$F_{3,8}$	$a.X_1$ $+\langle 021\|$	a generic	$5A_1$
$F_{3,9}$	$a.X_1$	a generic	$6A_1$
$F_{4,1}$	$b(X_2 - X_3) + \langle 002\|$ $+\langle 020\| + \langle 111\| + \langle 200\|$	b generic	A_2
$F_{4,2}$	$b(X_2 - X_3) + \langle 002\|$ $+\langle 011\| + \langle 020\| + \langle 101\|$ $+\langle 110\| + \langle 200\|$	b generic	A_3
$F_{4,3}$	$b(X_2 - X_3)$ $+\langle 000\| + \langle 111\|$	b generic	D_4
$F_{4,4}$	$b(X_2 - X_3) + \langle 001\|$ $+\langle 010\| + \langle 100\| + \langle 200\|$	b generic	Non-isolated
$F_{4,5}$	$b(X_2 - X_3)$ $+\langle 000\|$	b generic	Non-isolated
$F_{4,6}$	$b(X_2 - X_3)$	b generic	Non-isolated

TABLE III. Hyperplanes and the singular types of the corresponding X_φ-hypersurfaces where $|\varphi\rangle$ is a state depending on parameters.

nilhate all three-qutrit invariant polynomials. See [4] for a discussion on invariants and covariants of three-qutrit states and also [9] for an analysis of the nullcone in the four-qubit case.

IV. ENTANGLEMENT CLASSES AND ONION-LIKE STRUCTURE OF 3-QUTRITS

Quantum states SLOCC-equivalent to $F_{1,1}$ are semisimple states and are the most stable states in the sense that perturbing the state $|F_{1,1}\rangle \rightsquigarrow |F_{1,1}\rangle + \varepsilon|\varphi\rangle$ will not change the family of that state. From our perspective this can also be understood from the fact that $H_{F_{1,1}} \cap X$ is a smooth hypersurface and that a small perturbation of a smooth hypersurface will still give a smooth hypersurface. In this respect the hypersurface having only simple singularities are the next most stable ones. Following Arnold's definition of simple singularities, the knowledge of the singular type of a X_φ-hypersurface provides information about the possible deformations of the singular hypersurface under a small perturbation. In particular the miniversal deformation of a D_4 singularity gives singularities of type A_3, A_2 and A_1 according to the adjacency diagram (Eq (13)).

$$A_1 \leftarrow A_2 \leftarrow A_3 \leftarrow D_4 \tag{13}$$

Thus from the entanglement nature of the states corresponding to the normal forms $F_{4,3}$ (resp. N_2), we can infer that a small perturbation of the state will lead to states of type $F_{4,2}$ (resp. N_1), $F_{4,1}$, $F_{2,1}$ and $F_{1,1}$.

The study of the singular type of the X_φ-hypersurface can also be reinterpreted in terms of singular locus of the dual variety of X. Recall that the dual variety X^* is the (algebraic closure of the) set of tangent hyperplanes, i.e.

$$X^* = \overline{\{H \in \mathbb{P}(V^*), \exists x \in X, T_x X \subset H\}} \tag{14}$$

where $T_x X$ denotes the tangent space of X at x. For $X = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$, the variety X^* is a SLOCC-invariant hypersurface called hyperdeterminant of format $3 \times 3 \times 3$ (see [7] for the theory of hyperdeterminant in general and [3] for the $3 \times 3 \times 3$ hyperdeterminant in particular). The hyperdeterminant can be used, as suggested by Miyake [12], to describe classes of entanglement by looking at the singular locus of this SLOCC-invariant hypersurface. The smooth points of the hypersurface correspond to hyperplanes defining hyperplane sections with a unique Morse singularity (the hyperplanes which do not belong to the hypersurface define smooth hyperplane sections, they correspond to states of type $|F_{1,1}\rangle$, as mentioned above, for a general choice of parameters). Then there are two types of singular points: either they form a node component X^*_{node} (the corresponding hyperplane section has several isolated singular points) or they form a cusp component X^*_{cusp} (the singular hyperplane section is not a Morse singularity). Those defintions were introduced by Weyman and Zelevinsky [16] and later on used by Miyake in the context of entanglement [12]. A result of Dimca [6] and Parusinski [14] insures moreover that the multiplicty of a hyperplane (seen as a point) of the dual variety equals the sum of the Milnor numbers of the corresponding hyperplane section. Therefore Table III tells us that, for instance, the state $|F_{2,3}\rangle$ belongs to $X^*_{node,3}$ (the set of node points of multiplicity 3) while $|F_{4,2}\rangle$ belongs to $X^*_{cusp,3}$. Therefore our results allows us to describe the stratification induced by the singular point of X^*. It provides an onion-like structure, following the words of Miyake, to describe the three-qutrit entanglement (see Figure 1).

In this picture the stratification of the ambiant space by SLOCC invariant subvarieties can directely be read from the singular locus of X^*.



FIG. 1. Onion-like structure of the 3-qutrits Hilbert space

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper we employed the classification of simple singularities to look at the three-qutrit entanglement following the approach of [10]. This allows us to identify the Nurmiev's normal forms as components of the singular locus of the dual variety of the set of separable states. This stratification provides an onion-like description of the classes of entanglement for the three-qutrit case. Back to the simple singular types which show up in Table II and Table III, it is quite surprising to see that the worst isolated singular point is of type D_4. It was already the case observed in [10] for the four-qubit case. However in the four-qubit case the appearence of the D_4-type was expected in the sense that the Lie group $SO(8)$, of Dynkin diagram D_4, plays a major role in the orbit classification of four-qubit entanglement [15]. Thus in this case the D_4 singularity was providing an ADE-type correspondence between Lie group and singulariy of the same ADE Dynkin diagram. In [10] this correspondence could be clearly understood from the expression of the $2\times2\times2\times2$ hyperdeterminant, i.e. the dual equation of the variety of separable states, which could be written in the form of the discriminant of the miniversal deformation of a D_4 singularity. In the case of three-qutrit the correspondence is more mysterious and a direct relation between the expression of the $3\times3\times3$ hyperdeterminant, as calculated in [3], and the discriminant of the minversal deformation of D_4 is still missing.

[1] Arnold V.I., Goryunov V.V., Lyashko O.V., & Vasil'ev V.A. (1998). Singularity Theory. Springer.

[2] Arnold, V. (1972). Normal forms for functions near degenerate critical points, the Weyl groups of A k, D k, E k and Lagrangian singularities. Functional Analysis and its applications 6.4: 254-272.

[3] Bremner M., Hu J., & Oeding L. (2014). The 3x3x3 hyperdeterminant as a polynomial in the fundamental invariants for SL3(C) x SL3(C) x SL3(C).

[4] Briand, E., Luque, J. G., Thibon, J. Y., & Verstraete, F. (2004). The moduli space of three-qutrit states. Journal of mathematical physics, 45(12), 4855-4867.

[5] Decker W., Greuel G.-M., & Pfister G. (2013). Schonemann SINGULAR 3.1.6: a computer algebra system for polynomial computations. University of Kaiserslautern. `http://www.singular.uni-kl.de`.

[6] Dimca, A. (1986). Milnor numbers and multiplicities of dual varieties. Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 31(6), 535-538.

[7] Gelfand, I. M., Kapranov, M., & Zelevinsky, A. (2008). Discriminants, resultants, and multidimensional determinants. Springer Science & Business Media.

[8] Harris, J. (2013). Algebraic geometry: a first course (Vol. 133). Springer Science & Business Media.

[9] Holweck F., Luque J. G., & Thibon J. Y. (2014). Entanglement of four qubit systems: A geometric atlas with polynomial compass I (the finite world). Journal of Mathematical Physics, 55(1), 012202.

[10] Holweck F., Luque J. G., & Planat M. (2014). Singularity of type D4 arising from four-qubit systems. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical, 47(13), 135301.

[11] Knop, F. (1987). Ein neuer Zusammenhang zwischen einfachen Gruppen und einfachen Singularitten. Inventiones mathematicae, 90(3), 579-604.

[12] Miyake, A. (2003). Classification of multipartite entangled states by multidimensional determinants. Physical Review A, 67(1), 012108.

[13] Nurmiev, A. G. (2000). Orbits and invariants of third-order matrices. Mat. Sb., 191(5), 101-108

[14] Parusiński, A. (1991). Multiplicity of the dual variety. Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 23(5), 429-436.

[15] Verstraete, F., Dehaene, J., De Moor, B., & Verschelde, H. (2002). Four qubits can be entangled in nine different ways. Physical Review A, 65(5), 052112.

[16] Weyman, J., & Zelevinsky, A. (1996). Singularities of hyperdeterminants. In Annales de l'institut Fourier (Vol. 46, No. 3, pp. 591-644).